

Index

NOTE: Page numbers in **bold** refer to tables.
IPE means interprofessional education.

- Academy of Medical Educators (AoME), 89
- accident and emergency departments (ED), trials of IPE, 33
- accreditation, professional, 145
- acronyms
 - POLY-professionals, 10
 - PRECEDE/PROCEED model, 19–20
- action learning group, Castlebury Experience, 101
- action plans, 61–62
- action verbs, writing learning outcomes, 63–64
- activated patients, 138
- active learning, 70
- agencies *see* interagency working; multiagency working
- altruism, 8
- anchoring effect, answering questionnaires, 112
- assessment of IPE *see* evaluation of IPE
- assessment of learning, 108
- Association for Simulated Practice in Healthcare (ASPiH), 89
- Association for the Study of Medical Education (ASME), 88–89
- Association for the Study of Medical Education in Europe (AMEE), 89
- Association of Schools of Allied Health Professions (ASAHP), journal, 92
- ATBH (World Coordinating Committee All Together Better Health), 3, 88–89
- attitudes *see* Interprofessional Attitudes Scale
- Attitudes to Health Professionals Questionnaire (AHPQ), 120–121
- Auckland University, New Zealand, use of Readiness for IP Learning Scale, 119
- audience response systems, 68
- audio-visual communication, case conferencing, 50
- Audit Commission, on partnership working, 9
- audit trails, for distance learning, 79–80
- audits, 46–47, 97
- Australasian Interprofessional Practice and Education Network (AIPPEN), 85–86
- Australian and New Zealand Association for Health Professional Educators, journal, 91

How to Succeed at Interprofessional Education, First Edition. Peter Donnelly.
© 2019 John Wiley & Sons Ltd. Published 2019 by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

152 Index

- authority, acceptance of, 123
- awareness (Harden's ladder rung), 28
- bar diagrams, 123
- barriers, to interprofessional education, 75, 77, 139–140
- Barr's dimensions, 125–126
- before and after studies (controlled), 38–42
- behaviour, theory of planned behaviour, 20
- bias, interviewers, 113
- bipolar constructs (Kelly), 120–121
- bipolar values, System for Multiple Level Observations of Groups, 123–124
- Bloom's Taxonomy of Learning, 62–63
- Bournemouth University, action learning group, 101
- brainstorming, 73
- buzz groups, 73–74
- Caesarean sections, trial of IPE on, 34
- CAIPE (Centre for the Advancement of Interprofessional Education), 3, 11, 87–88
- Canadian Interprofessional Health Collaborative (CIHC), 86
- car industry, change management teams, 129
- care co-ordination, 11–15
- care in the community, 9
- care management, 14
- care navigators, 15
- care pathways intervention, study of, 48–49
- case conferencing, audio-visual communication, 50
- case management, 13–14
- Castlebury Experience, 101
- Central Council for Nursing and Midwifery and Health Visiting (Nursing and Midwifery Council), 6
- Centre for the Advancement of Interprofessional Education (CAIPE), 3, 11, 87–88
- Chair *see* leadership
- change management teams, car industry, 129
- Characteristics of an Effective MDT* (report), 94–98
- charismatic leaders, 141
- child protection, 5
- cholecystectomies, study of IPE, 46
- chronic care model, 14–15
- chronic illness care questionnaire, 46
- citations, impact factor, 89–93
- Clark, P.G., seven deadly sins, 139
- climate *see* Team Climate Inventory
- Climbié, Victoria, public enquiry, 5
- clinical commission groups, 136
- clinical decision making, patient-centred, 96–97
- clinical governance, for multidisciplinary teams, 97
- Clinical Teacher* (journal), 89
- co-ordination
 - care co-ordination, 11–15
 - defined, 128
 - temporal (Harden's ladder rung), 29
- Cochrane reviews, 30–44, 109
 - 2017 Review, 44–50
- Cockburn integrated health and community facility, Curtin University, 102
- Code, The* (Nursing and Midwifery Council), 6
- collaboration
 - interprofessional, 15–16
 - defined, 127
 - online, 78–79
- collaborative practice, 18, 47
- communication skills programmes, trials of, 32–33, 34–35
- community care, 9
- community facility, Curtin University, 102
- Community Mental Health Teams, 10
- compassionate leadership, 143, 147
- competencies, 116–117, 122

- complementary stage, Harden's ladder, 29
- complexity of designed problems, 71
- conceptual schemata, 70
- concurrent validity, 115
- conflicts, interprofessional, 76
- constructivism, 70
- content validity, 115
- continuity of care, 13
- contractors, employees vs, 109
- controlled before and after studies (CBA studies), 38–42
- conversation analysis, 114
- core competencies, 116–117
- correlation (Harden's ladder rung), 29
- costs, assessment of IPE, 110
- crew resource management, 44
- criticism of IPE, 7
- Curtin University, Western Australia, 101–102, 139
- 'CUSS' words, TeamSTEPPS training, 98–99

- data collection
 - assessment of IPE
 - ethics, 109–110
 - techniques, 111–112
 - for multidisciplinary teams, 97
- database, Minnesota, 102–103
- depression, trial of IPE on, 36–37
- design model, 69–78
- design principles, 57–84
 - system design, 19–20
- diabetes, trials of IPE, 31–32, 38, 43–44, 45–46
- direct constructs, theory of planned behaviour, 20
- disciplines, 11–12
- disease management, 13
- dissemination strategy, 115–116
- distance learning, 78–80
- distributed leadership, 141
- domestic violence, trials of IPE on, 33, 37, 105

- dominance, submissiveness vs, 123
- drug prescribing, nursing homes, studies of IPE, 49
- dual facilitated focus groups, 113

- Education for Health* (journal), 92–93
- effectiveness, initiatives, 124
- emergency departments (ED), trials of IPE, 33, 39–40
- empathy, 143
- employees, contractors vs, 109
- employers
 - benefits of IPE, 51
 - support for multidisciplinary teams, 97
- engagement
 - criteria for, 139–140
 - in distance learning, 79
- errors, 19
- ethics, assessment of IPE, 109–110
- ethnography, 114
- European Interprofessional Education Network (EIPEN), 87
- evaluation of IPE, 105–133
 - frameworks for, 124–129
 - scope, 107–108
 - types, 108

- facilitation, defined, 76
- facilitators, 71–72, 75
 - dual facilitated focus groups, 113
 - training and qualities, 76–77
- faculties (universities), 76
 - network model, 144
- falls management programme, nursing homes, study of IPE, 40–41
- feedback, 67–68
- Feedback for Improving Teamwork (MDT), 94–98, 127
- field diagrams, 123
- five years impact, publications, 89–93
- fluidity of networks, 144–145
- focus groups, 113
- Focus on Health Professional Education* (journal), 91

154 Index

- formative assessment of initiatives, 108
- Foundation Trusts (NHS), 136
- four-level model (Kirkpatrick), 124–125
- frameworks, 70
- friendliness, 123

- Gantt charts, 110
- General Medical Council
 - on multidisciplinary teams, 10
 - on team-based interprofessional practice placement, 18
- General Nursing Council (Nursing and Midwifery Council), 6
- General Pharmacy Council (GPhC), 6
- governance, *see also* leadership
 - multidisciplinary teams, 97–98
- Green Cross Medical, 94
- grounded theory, 114
- group dynamics, 74–75
- guided care model, 14–15

- Harden, R., three dimensional model, 57–58, 106
- Harden's ladder, 27–30, 57–58
- harmonisation (Harden's ladder rung), 28
- Health and Interprofessional Practice* (journal), 91–92
- Health, Ethics and Learning (module), Linköping IPE model, 94
- health inequalities, 137
- health risk communication, trial of IPE on, 34–35
- historical aspects, 2–3
- home care, 9
- homelessness, services, 5
- House of Care model, 138, 143
- housing, 5
- human factors, 18–19
- humanism, 8
- hypertension, trial of IPE, 45–46

- identity, *see also* team identity
 - interprofessional, 144
- immersion, Leicester IPE model, 100

- impact factor, publications, 89–93
- Improving Chronic Illness Care model, 38–39
- incident analysis, 74
- indirect constructs, theory of planned behaviour, 20
- inequalities, social, 137
- informal interprofessional education, 75–76
- innovation, climate for, 122–123
- Innovative Teaching and Learning Projects (ITLP), journal publishing, 91
- integration of work practices, defined, 128
- intention, theory of planned behaviour, 20, 43
- inter-rater reliability, 115
- interaction
 - facilitation of, 69–74
 - frequency (Team Climate Inventory), 123
- interagency working, 16
- Interdisciplinary Education Perception Scale, 117–118
- interdisciplinary stage, Harden's ladder, 30
- Internet
 - online collaboration, 78–79
 - virtual communities, 78, 147
- interpretive phenomenological analysis, 115
- InterProfessional Activity Classification Tool (InterPACT), 127–129, 130
- Interprofessional Attitudes Scale (IPAS), 119–120
- interprofessional collaboration, 15–16
 - defined, 127
- Interprofessional Collaborative Practice, 116–117
- interprofessional education (IPE)
 - barriers to, 75, 77, 139–140
 - defined, 17–18, 31, 44, 139
- Interprofessional Education Collaborative (IPEC), 103
 - Assessment Tool, 122
- interprofessional identity, 144

- interprofessional practice learning, 116
- interviews
 assessment of IPE, 112–113
 cost of, 110–111
 inverse care law, 137
- IPPL (interprofessional practice learning), 116
- ischaemic heart disease, trial of IPE, 45–46
- isolation (Harden's ladder rung), 28
- Johari window, 60
- Journal of Allied Health, The*, 92
- Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions*, 90
- Journal of Interprofessional Care*, 89–90
- Journal of Interprofessional Education and Practice*, 92
- Journal of Research in Interprofessional Practice and Education*, 90–91
- Kelly, G.A., bipolar constructs, 120–121
- Kings College London, use of Readiness for IP Learning Scale, 119
- King's Fund
 on case management, 13–14
 report (2004), 8
- Kirkpatrick's four-level model, 124–125
- Kolb's cycle, 61
- labour wards, trial of IPE in, 33–34
- ladder, Harden's, 27–30, 57–58
- ladder of partnership (Gaster et al.), 17
- laparoscopic cholecystectomies, study of IPE, 46
- leadership, 140–143, *see also* governance
 compassionate, 143, 147
 multidisciplinary teams, 95
 TeamSTEPPS training, 98
- learning needs analysis, 59–62
- learning outcomes, 62–66, 129–130
- lecture theatres, 73
- lecturers, facilitators *vs*, 71–72
- Leicester IPE model, 99–100
- length of stay (LOS), study of
 interdisciplinary rounds on, 49–50
- lesson plans, 65–66, 67
- Likert scale, 119
- Limburg, University of, 30
- Linköping IPE model, 93–94
- listening, active, 143
- logistics, multidisciplinary team meetings, 95
- Man and Society (modules), Linköping IPE model, 93, 94
- McDonald et al, on care co-ordination, 11
- McGill University (Quebec), 86
- MDT Feedback for Improving Teamwork (FIT), 94–98
- Medical Education* (journal), 89
- medical students, 9, *see also* students
 Leicester IPE model, 99–100
 Readiness for IP Learning Scale, 119
 team-based interprofessional practice placement, 18
- meetings, multidisciplinary teams, 47, 95–96
- Mental Capacity Act 2005, lesson plan on, 65–66
- mental health practitioners, study of IPE, 41–42
- Mexico, Veracruz state, randomised controlled trial, 31–32
- Mid Staffordshire Health Authority, public inquiry, 8
- minimal appropriate interventions, 135
- Minnesota
 National Centre for Interprofessional Practice and Education, 102–103
The Nexus, 102–103, 139
- mobile technology, 137
- Montgomery's quantum leadership, 141
- multiagency working, 17
- multidisciplinary learning, defined, 10
- multidisciplinary stage, Harden's ladder, 29

156 Index

- multidisciplinary teams, 12–13
 - Feedback for Improving Teamwork (FIT), 94–98, 127
 - General Medical Council on, 10
 - IPC scenarios *vs.*, 16
 - members, 95
 - study of IPE for, 46–47
- multiprofessional education (MPE),
 - defined, 10–11
- National Centre for Interprofessional Practice and Education, Minnesota, 102–103
- National Health Service, 136, 143–144
 - NHS Plan (DoE 2000), 2
- navigators (care navigators), 15
- nesting (Harden's ladder rung), 29
- network competencies, 145
- network model, 144–145
- Network, The: Towards Unity for Health, 92
- networking, defined, 128
- Nexus*, Minnesota, 102–103, 139
- NHS Plan (DoE 2000), 2
- Nightingale, Florence, 8
- NIPNet (Nordic Interprofessional Network), 87
- non-attendance, multidisciplinary team members, 95
- Nordic Interprofessional Network (NIPNet), 87
- nursing
 - as profession, 8
 - role perception questionnaire, 120
- Nursing and Midwifery Council (NMC), 6
- nursing homes, studies of IPE
 - drug prescribing, 49
 - falls management programme, 40–41
- NVIVO10 (software package), 111
- obstetrics wards, trial of IPE in, 33–34
- One Minute papers, for feedback, 68
- online collaboration, 78–79
- online forms, for feedback, 68
- 'only do what only you can do', 135
- operating rooms, studies of IPE, 41, 46
- orthopaedic ward, run by students, 93–94
- outcome measures, 32, 45
- ownership of learning, 59, 73–74, 79
- participative safety (Team Climate Inventory), 122
- partnership working, 9
- patient activation, 138
- patient-centred care, 96–97, 138, 141, 143
- patient-centredness, trial of IPE on, 34–35
- patient navigators, 15
- PDSA *see* Plan Do Study Act model
- perceived learning, true learning *vs.*, 59–60
- pharmacists, 6
 - trainees, use of Interprofessional Attitudes Scale, 120
- phenomenological analysis, 115
- phones (mobile technology), 137
- Plan Do Study Act model, 21, 105
 - Castlebury Experience, 101
 - trial of, 31–32
- planning, interprofessional education, 77
- POLY-professionals, 10
- portfolios, reflective, 146
- post it notes, for feedback, 67–68
- postgraduate curricula, 3
- Powell, Enoch, 9
- practice-based interventions, 16
- PRECEDE/PROCEED model, 105
 - team training, 19–20
 - trial of IPE using, 37
- predictive validity, 115
- primary care, trials of IPE in, 36–37
- prior knowledge, 70
- problem based learning, 29, 70–74
- problems, design of, 71
- PROCEED (acronym), 20
- professionalism, 7–9

- proto-professionalism, 9
- prudent health care, 135–136
- public inquiries
 - Climbié, Victoria, 5
 - Mid Staffordshire Health Authority, 8
- publications, 89–93
- quadruple aim, 140, 148
- quantum leadership (Montgomery), 141
- Quebec, McGill University, 86
- questerviews, 112
- questionnaires, *see also specific instruments*
 - assessment of IPE, 108, 111–112
 - chronic illness care, 46
 - feedback, 67
- randomised controlled trials, 31–38
- reaction (in four-level model), 124
- Readiness for IP Learning Scale, 118–119
- Reeves, S., et al.
 - Cochrane reviews
 - 2013 Review, 30–44
 - 2017 Review, 44–50
 - design model, 69–78
- reflection, 60–62
- reflective portfolios, 146
- Regional Interprofessional Education (RIPE) project, 100–101
- regulations, 6–7
- regulatory bodies, 145
- relevance
 - of designed problems, 71
 - significant learning, 75–76
- reliability (of tests), 115
- resource allocation, 107
- resuscitation training, learning
 - outcomes, 62, 63–64
- revalidation cycles, 77
- role perception questionnaire, 120
- rounds, study of IPE in, 47–48, 49–50
- sampling, interview subjects, 113
- scheduling, multidisciplinary team
 - meetings, 96
- schemata, conceptual, 70
- secular trends, 42
- self-assessment, 59, 129
- self-regulation (professions), 8
- seriousness of designed problems, 71
- seven deadly sins (Clark), 139
- shared care, 16
- shared leadership, 141–142
- shared teaching (Harden's ladder rung), 29
- significant learning, 75–76
- simulation, in IPE, 81
- small group work, 69, 72
 - distance learning, 78
- SMART approach, action plans, 61–62
- smart phones, 137
- software packages
 - for feedback, 68
 - NVIVO10, 111
- specialties (disciplines), 11–12
- SPICES model, 28
- Stairstep Model, 103
- statements, Readiness for IP Learning Scale, 118–119
- status of facilitators, 75
- STEP approach, 98
- stewardship, 141
- Stilwel project, 78
- 'Stop, Start, Continue' technique, for
 - feedback, 68
- story telling, distance learning, 78
- stroke rehabilitation, trial of IPE on, 35–36
- student experiences, journal publishing, 92
- students, *see also medical students*
 - Interdisciplinary Education Perception Scale, 118
 - leading IPE, 146
 - Leicester IPE model, 99–100
 - Readiness for IP Learning Scale, 118–119
 - wards run by, 93–94
- submissiveness, dominance *vs*, 123
- subtraction effect, answering
 - questionnaires, 112
- summative assessment, 108

158 Index

- support for innovation (Team Climate Inventory), 123
- surveys
 - assessment of IPE, 111–112
 - for multidisciplinary teams, 97–98
- system design, 19–20
- System for Multiple Level Observations of Groups (SYMLOG), 123–124
- system leaders, 143

- task orientation (Team Climate Inventory), 123
- Taxonomy of Learning (Bloom), 62–63
- teachers, defined, 1
- team-based interprofessional practice placement (TIPP), 18
 - Curtin University, 101–102
- team citizen behaviour, 129
- Team Climate Inventory, 122–123
- team commitment, defined, 128–129
- team goals, defined, 128
- team identity, defined, 128
- team interdependence, defined, 128
- team responsibilities, defined, 128
- team roles, defined, 128
- team training, 19–21
- TeamSTEPPS training, 98–99, 127
 - study of, 41
- teamwork, *see also* multidisciplinary teams
 - interprofessional, 127–129, 140–142
 - network model *vs*, 144–145
- technology, *see also* software packages
 - audio-visual communication, case conferencing, 50
 - for distance learning, 80
 - mobile, 137
 - multidisciplinary team meetings, 95
 - online collaboration, 78–79
 - virtual communities, 78, 147
- telemetry ward, study of
 - interdisciplinary rounds, 49–50
- telephone communication, case conferencing, 50
- temporal co-ordination (Harden's ladder rung), 29
- test-retest reliability, 115
- The Code* (Nursing and Midwifery Council), 6
- The Journal of Allied Health*, 92
- The Network: Towards Unity for Health, 92
- theory, grounded, 114
- theory of planned behaviour, 20, 43, 105
- think, pair and share strategy, 74
- third medical revolution, 137–139, 147
- Thistlethwaite, J., on interprofessional identity, 144
- three dimensional model (Harden), 57–58, 106
- time interrupted series studies (TIS studies), 42–44
- time management, 110
- Tomorrow's Doctors* (GMC), 2
- training, 1
- transdisciplinary stage, Harden's ladder, 30
- transprofessional education, 17
- treatment implementation model, 20–21
- trends (secular), 42
- triple aim, 140, 144, 148
- true learning, perceived learning *vs*, 59–60
- Tudor Hart, Julian, inverse care law, 137

- UK Central Council for Nursing and Midwifery and Health Visiting (Nursing and Midwifery Council), 6
- undergraduate curricula, 3, 77
 - Linköping IPE model, 93–94
- uniprofessional learning, 3, 10, 139
 - Harden's ladder ascending from, 28–29
- University of Auckland, New Zealand, use of Readiness for IP Learning Scale, 119
- University of Limburg, 30

- University of the West of England IP
 - Questionnaire, Assessment Tool, 121–122
- unplanned IPE, 29
- validity of tests, 115
- Veracruz state, Mexico, randomised controlled trial, 31–32
- videoconferencing, 50
- virtual communities, 78, 147
- vision (Team Climate Inventory), 122
- ward rounds *see* rounds
- wards
 - labour wards, trial of IPE in, 33–34
 - run by students, 93–94
 - telemetry ward, study of
 - interdisciplinary rounds, 49–50
- websites, online collaboration, 78–79
- West Midlands, study of TPB intervention, 43
- Western Australia, Curtin University, 101–102, 139
- World Coordinating Committee All Together Better Health (WCC-ATBH), 3, 88–89
- World Health Organisation
 - on interprofessional education, 18
 - on multiprofessional education, 2, 10–11
- X teams, 141