Index

Abraham, C., 49 Acceptability, intervention, 9, 164-65	inductive, designing interventions, 23 24-25
conceptualization of, 165-67	theoretical, for problem
adherence, 167	understanding, 27-31
convenience, 167	theory-based
effectiveness, 167	advantages of, 48
risks, 167	for designing interventions, 43-49
feedback on, 171	44f
operationalization of, 167-68	limitations of, 49
intervention design, 168-72	steps in, 44-48
intervention evaluation, 172-80	Appropriate interventions, 9
of treatment, survey for, 169-71, 170t	Armstrong, T.S., 132
Actual problems, 21	Artieta-Pinedo, J., 65
Adaptation, guideline, to practice	Assessment, in nursing process, 3
transfer, 268-70	Attrition rate
Adherence	in intervention acceptability,
appropriateness, 166	173-74
in intervention acceptability, 167	in intervention evaluation, 157
in intervention evaluation, 174-75	in intervention evaluation, 157
Adolfson, E.T., 250	Bakas, T., 169
Agreeable interventions, 9	Barkauskas, V.H., 227
Algorithms, 6	Baron, R.M., 153
Approach	Barraso, J., 33
client-centered evidence-based, 8	Bartholomew, L.K., 52
to inform health professionals, 10	Baskin, T.W., 226
deductive, in designing interventions,	Beck, C.T., 132
23-24	Behavioral interventions, 19
effectiveness, recruitment procedure,	questionnaire on, 176-77
186-87	Behavior Intervention Rating Scale,
empirical	177
advantages of, 52	Bellg, A.J., 122, 126, 127t
for designing interventions, 49-53,	Black, D.R., 50, 264
51t	Blue, C.L., 50, 264
for problem understanding, 31-35	Bootzin, R.R., 63
steps in, 49-52	Borrelli, B., 126, 127t
weakness of, 53	
experimental	Braden, C.J., 17, 27, 150, 231
	Brandt D.A. 137t
concept mapping, 54	Brandt, P.A., 127t
focus group, 53-54	Brown, S.A., 198
for problem understanding, 35-40	Bulecheck, G.M., 17
treatment preference assessment,	Burke, J.G., 36, 38, 39
54-56	Burns, N., 18

Design, Evaluation, and Translation of Nursing Interventions, First Edition. Souraya Sidani and Carrie Jo Braden.

Callahan, J.L., 257	Complex intervention manual, 104t-107t
Campbell, D.T., 229	use of, 108-9
Campbell, M., 11	Concept analysis, for problem
Carballo-Diéguez, A., 176	understanding, 31-32
Carroll, C., 126, 127t	Concept mapping, in problem
Carter, S.L., 177	understanding, 35-40
Characteristics	implementation of, 39
client, tailored interventions and,	interpretation for, 38-39
75-76	intervention mapping combined with,
contextual, manual intervention	40-42
development procedure, 94	limitations for, 40
of interventions, 19-20	preparation for, 36
actions compromising, 19-20	representation for, 38
complexity, 20	statement generation for, 36-37
overarching present goal, 19	statement structuring for, 37
of problems, 21-23	usefulness, 39 utilization for, 39
biophysiological, 22	
causative factors/determinants, 22	Conceptualization
level of severity, 22-23, 35 manifestations, 22	of intervention acceptability, 165-67
physical, 22	adherence, 167 convenience, 167
psycho-behavioral, 22	effectiveness, 167
social, 22	problem addressing, 166
treatment preferences	risks, 167
conceptualization	of treatment preferences, 251-54
clinical, 252	clinical characteristics, 252
personal, 252	consequences of, 253-54
psychological, 252-53	factors influencing, 252-53
treatment, 253	personal characteristics, 252
Cheong, J.W., 249	presenting problem beliefs, 253
Chung, L.K., 178	psychological characteristics,
Client-centered care, 4	252-53
paradigm shift and, 7-8	treatment characteristics, 253
responding flexibly in, 8	Congruity, 151, 153
Client-centered evidence-based	Construct validity, 200, 215t-217t
approach, 8	Context, as intervention feasibility
to inform health professionals, 10	indicator, 183-84
Client characteristic	Contextual analysis
assessment, tailored interventions	hierarchical linear models in, 246, 247
and, 76	subgroup analyses in, 246
identification, tailored interventions	Context validation, intervention fidelity
and, 75-76	and, 132-33
Client experience, as source of evidence,	Contiguity, 151, 153
7	Convenience, in intervention
Cognitive interventions, 19	acceptability, 167
Communication action, in designing	Cook, T.D., 229
interventions, 20	Coordination action, in designing
Comparison group selection, in efficacy	interventions, 20
studies, 223-28	Correlational cross-sectional studies, for
no-treatment control condition,	problem understanding, 31
224	Covariation, 150-51, 152-53
placebo condition, 225-27	Coward, D., 180, 260
treatment-as-usual condition,	Coyler, H., 8
227-28	Crano, W.D., 88
usual care condition, 227-28	Credibility Scale, 177
waiting-list control condition, 224-25,	Crits-Christoph, P., 67, 115, 116
225f	Cultural relevance, of interventions, 9

D'Agostino, R.B., 225	DSM. See Diagnostic and Statistical
Data collection	Manual of Mental Disorders
in intervention evaluation, 157	Dumas, J.E., 117, 122, 126, 127t
as research method, 192-93	
Deductive approach, in designing	Educational interventions
interventions, 23-24	evidence into practice and, 6-7
Descriptive cross-sectional studies, for	questionnaire on, 176
problem understanding, 31	Effective interventions, 9
Design, of interventions, 11-12, 23-25	Effectiveness approach, recruitment
communication action in, 20	procedure, 186-87
coordination action in, 20	Effectiveness interventions
deductive approach in, 23-24	in intervention acceptability, 167
elaboration in, 11	in intervention evaluation, 13
empirical approach for, 49-53, 51t	Effectiveness studies, in intervention
advantages of, 52	acceptability, 179-80
steps in, 49-52	Efficacy, in intervention evaluation, 12-13
weakness of, 53	Efficacy studies
experimental approach for, 53-56 concept mapping, 54	comparison group selection in, 223-28 design features of, 201, 219-28
focus group, 53-54	experimental condition control,
treatment preference assessment,	222-23
54-56	in intervention acceptability, 178-79
inductive approach in, 23, 24-25	outcome data analysis, 231-32
in intervention acceptability, 168-72	outcome measurement, 231
intervention theory development in, 11 specification of, 56	participant allocation to groups, 228-30
components and activities, 56-57, 58t	participant selection in, 219-22 standardized treatment
dose, 59-60	implementation, 230-31
goals, 56	Efficient interventions, 10
mode of delivery, 57-59	Einhorn, H.J., 150
tailored. See Tailored interventions	Elaboration, in designing interventions, 11
theory-based approach for, 43-49,	Elkin, I., 114
44f	Empirical approach
advantages of, 48	advantages of, 52
limitations of, 49	for designing interventions, 49-53, 51t
steps in, 44-48	for problem understanding, 31-35
Design combination, in treatment	steps in, 49-52
allocation, 245	weakness of, 53
Design features, of efficacy studies, 201,	Enrollment rate, in intervention
219-28	acceptability, 172-73
Development procedure, for manual	Evaluation, of interventions, 12-13, 149-62
intervention, 93, 100-108	acceptability in, 155-56
context of, 95-108	inferring causality criteria in, 149-54
contextual characteristics, 94	congruity, 151, 153
human and material resources,	contiguity, 151, 153
97-100	covariation, 150-51, 152-53
overview of, 95-97	ruling out other cases, 151, 153-54
process, 94	temporal order, 150, 152
Diagnosis. See also Problems	in intervention acceptability, 172-80
in nursing process, 3 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of	adherence, 174-75
Mental Disorders (DSM), 21	attrition rate, 173-74
Direct association, among phenomena,	continued use, 174-75 effectiveness studies, 179-80
27-28	efficacy studies, 178-79
Dissemination, guideline, to practice	enrollment rate, 172-73
transfer, 270	pilot studies, 178
transier, 210	pilot studies, if o

Evaluation, of interventions (Continued) retention rate, 173-74 self-report questionnaire, 175-78 uptake, 174-75 in nursing process, 3 phases for, 154-61 acceptability, 155-56 attrition, 157 data collection methods, 157 feasibility, 155-56 4, 160-61 intervention implementation, 157 measurement, 157 1, 154-55 preliminary effectiveness, 155-56 research methods exploration, 155-57	matrix generation, 130 monitoring methods for, 129-30 client, 139 context validation, 132-33 implementation, 143-45 interventionist, 133-39 matrix generation, 130 operational fidelity, 133 operational fidelity, 133 operationalization of, 127t-128t Finn, C.A., 177 Fishbein, M., 30 Foley, J., 176 Forgatch, M.S., 127t, 137, 138 Franzen, P.L., 63 Friedman, M.A., 127t Fuertes, J.N., 68, 120, 199
resources, 157 study protocols, 156-57 3, 158-60 2, 155-58	Givens, J.L., 65, 252 Grapow, M.T.R., 222 Grey, M., 11
theory role, 161-62 Evidence-based practice, 4 Evidence translation, 265-66 Experimental approach concept mapping, 54 focus group, 53-54 for problem understanding, 35-40 treatment preference assessment, 54-56	Grove, S.K., 18 Guidelines, 5, 6 to practice transfer adaptation, 268-70 dissemination, 270 implementation, 270 in translation of interventions, 268 Gum, A.M., 66 Guruge, S., 32
Experimental condition control, in efficacy studies, 222-23 External validity, 200, 218t	Hacklett, G.K.B., 252 Hart, E., 127t
Family-centered care, 8 Fan, L., 264 Feasibility, of intervention, 180-85 definition of, 180-81 indicators of, 181-85 context, 183-84 intervention implementation, fidelity of, 184-85	Hazlett-Stevens, H., 252 Health professionals, client-centered evidence-based approach to inform, 10 Heinsman, D.T., 240 Hierarchical linear models, in contextual analysis, 246, 247 High-quality care, 4 redefined, 9-11
interventionists availability, 181 interventionists quality, 181 interventionists training, 181–82 material resources, 182–83 reach, 185	Hogarth, R.M., 150 Hrisos, S., 270 Hygiene, survey for examining acceptability of, 170t
in intervention evaluation, 155-56 Feedback, on intervention acceptability,	Immediate outcomes, delineation of, 61-62
171 Fernéndez, M.E., 50, 51, 52 Fidelity, of intervention client, 139 context validation, 132-33 definition of, 125-29, 127t-128t implementation, 143-45 interventionist influence and, 133-39	Implementation, of intervention consequences of, 90-91 enhancing strategies for, 91-92 fidelity of, intervention feasibility indicators and, 184-85 guidelines for, 267t-268t in intervention evaluation, 157 variations in, 85-89

Implementation guideline, to practice transfer, 270	intervention theory development in, 11
Indirect association, among phenomena,	limitations of, 49
27-28	mode of delivery, 57-59
Inductive approach, designing	specification of, 56
interventions, 23, 24-25	steps in, 44-48, 49-52
Inferring causality criteria, in intervention	tailored. See Tailored interventions
evaluation, 149-54	theory-based approach for, 43-49,
congruity, 151, 153	44f
contiguity, 151, 153	treatment preference assessment,
covariation, 150-51, 152-53	54-56
ruling out other cases, 151, 153-54	weakness of, 53
temporal order, 150, 152	educational
Insomnia, theory of, 41t, 42	evidence into practice and, 6-7
Internal validity, 200, 206t-214t	guestionnaire on, 176
Intervention(s), 11-14. See also	effectiveness, 9
Interventionist(s)	in intervention acceptability, 167
acceptability of, 9, 164-65	in intervention evaluation, 13
adherence, 167	efficient, 10
conceptualization of, 165-67	evaluation of, 12-13, 149-62
convenience, 167	acceptability in, 155-56
effectiveness, 167	evaluation of
feedback on, 171	inferring causality criteria in,
intervention design, 168-72	149-54
intervention evaluation, 172-80	in intervention acceptability,
operationalization of, 167-68	172-80
problem addressing, 166	in nursing process, 3
risks, 167	phases for, 154-61
treatment survey for, 169-71, 170t	inferring causality criteria in
agreeable, 9	congruity, 151, 153
appropriate, 9	contiguity, 151, 153
attributes of, 18	covariation, 150-51, 152-53
behavioral, 19	ruling out other cases, 151,
questionnaire on, 176-77	153-54
characteristics of, 19-20	
	temporal order, 150, 152
actions compromising, 19-20	in intervention acceptability
complexity, 20	adherence, 174-75
overarching present goal, 19	attrition rate, 173-74
cognitive, 19	continued use, 174-75
cultural relevance of, 9	effectiveness studies, 179-80
definition of, 17-19	efficacy studies, 178-79
designing of, 11-12, 23-25	enrollment rate, 172-73
advantages of, 48, 52	pilot studies, 178
communication action in, 20	retention rate, 173-74
components and activities, 56-57,	self-report questionnaire, 175-78
58t	uptake, 174-75
concept mapping, 54	phases for
coordination action in, 20	acceptability, 155-56
deductive approach in, 23-24	attrition, 157
dose, 59-60	data collection methods, 157
elaboration in, 11	feasibility, 155-56
empirical approach for, 49-53, 51t	4, 160-61
experimental approach for, 53-56	intervention implementation, 157
focus group, 53-54	measurement, 157
goals, 56	1, 154-55
inductive approach in, 23, 24-25	preliminary effectiveness,
in intervention acceptability 168-72	155-56

300 Index

Intervention(s) (Continued) psycho-educational, 20 research methods exploration, research influences, treatment 155-57 preferences, 254-60 research methods, 185-93 resources, 157 study protocols, 156-57 data collection, 192-93 3, 158-60 randomization, 189-91 2, 155-58 recruitment procedures, 186-87 retention, 191-92 theory role, 161-62 feasibility, 180-85 screening, 188-89 context as indicator of, 183-84 safe, 10 definition of, 180-81 social, 19 fidelity of intervention tailored, 73-82 implementation as indicator algorithm development, 78-81 of, 184-85 client characteristic assessment, indicators of, 181-85 in intervention evaluation, 155-56 client characteristic identification, interventionists availability as 75-76 indicator of, 181 design of, 74-81 interventionists quality as indicator effectiveness of, 81 intervention strategy list, 76-78 of, 181 intervention theory, 74-75 interventionists training as indicator of, 181-82 mechanisms underlying effects of, material resources as indicator of, 82 theory, 64-71 182-83 reach as indicator of, 185 in designing interventions, 11 fidelity outcome, 68-71 client monitoring, 139 process, 68 context validation monitoring, propositions of, 69-71, 70f 132-33 structure, 64-68 definition of, 125-29, 127t-128t utility of, 71-72 translation of, 13-14, 263-70 implementation monitoring, 143-45 interventionist monitoring, 133-39 evidence, 265-66 matrix generation monitoring, 130 guideline adaptation, 268-70 monitoring methods for, 129-30 guideline dissemination, 270 operational fidelity monitoring, 133 guideline implementation, 270 implementation of guideline to practice transfer, 268 consequences of, 90-91 intervention implementation enhancing strategies for, 91-92 guidelines, 267t-268t fidelity of intervention feasibility systematic review of evidence, 264-65 indicators and, 184-85 guidelines for, 267t-268t Intervention feasibility, 180-85 in intervention evaluation, 157 definition of, 180-81 variations in, 85-89 indicators of, 181-85 manual. See Intervention manual context, 183-84 mapping. See Intervention mapping intervention implementation, in nursing process, 3, 4 fidelity of, 184-85 outcomes, delineation of, 61-64, 63f interventionists availability, 181 immediate, 61-62 interventionists quality, 181 interventionists training, 181-82 ultimate, 61-62 outcomes vs. material resources, 182-83 conceptual factors in, 198-200, 201, reach, 185 in intervention evaluation, 155-56 202t. 218t methodological factors in, 200-201, Intervention fidelity 202t-218t client, 139 pharmacological, questionnaires on, context validation, 132-33 definition of, 125-29, 127t-128t 176 physical, 19 implementation, 143-45 pilot study design, 193-96 interventionist, 133-39

interventionist influence and, 133-39 matrix generation, 130	Joyce, A.S., 117, 138, 199 Judge Santacrocce, S., 128t
monitoring methods for, 129-30	dage dantaer deee, d., 12dt
operational fidelity, 133	Kamath, P., 8
operationalization of, 127t-128t	Kazdin, A.E., 165, 176
Intervention implementation	Keller, C., 85, 126, 127t
client population, 267t	Kelly, P.J., 55
consequences of, 90-91	Kenny, D.A., 153
enhancing strategies for, 91-92	Kim, D.M., 199
fidelity of, intervention feasibility	King, M., 190, 236, 252, 257, 260
indicators and, 184-85	Klaber-Moffett, J.K., 179, 259
guidelines for, 267t-268t	Kleinman, P.H., 114
instrument assessment, 267t	Kotwall, C.A., 222
in intervention evaluation, 157	Kraemer, H.C., 219
presenting problem, 267t	Kreuter, M.W., 81
variations in, 85-89	
Interventionist(s)	Labonte, R., 27
influence	Lancaster, G.A., 158
evidence, 113-16	Lauver, D.R., 73
intervention fidelity and, 133-39	Laverack, G., 27
methodological features of studies,	Leung, C.M., 249
123-24	Leventhal, H., 127t
selection of, 116-19	Literature review
traditional perspective on, 112	methodological data extracted for, 33,
training of, 119-23	34t
as intervention feasibility indicator	substantive data extracted for, 33, 34t
availability, 181	Local knowledge, as source of evidence, 7 Lynn, M.R., 132
quality, 181	Lyiii, M.R., 132
training, 181-82 Intervention manual	MacDonald, S., 168
complex, 104t-107t	Mahon, N.E., 35
use of, 108-9	Manual, intervention
development procedure for, 93,	complex, 104t-107t
100-108	use of, 108-9
context of, 95-108	development procedure for, 93,
contextual characteristics, 94	100-108
human and material resources,	context of, 95-108
97-100	contextual characteristics, 94
overview of, 95-97	human and material resources,
process, 94	97-100
simple, 103t	overview of, 95-97
Intervention mapping, for problem	process, 94
understanding, 40-42	simple, 103t
concept mapping combined with,	Mapping, concept, in problem
40-42	understanding, 35-40
Intervention research influences,	implementation of, 39
treatment preferences,	interpretation for, 38-39
254-60	intervention mapping combined with,
Intervention research methods, 185-93	40-42
data collection, 192-93	limitations for, 40
randomization, 189-91	preparation for, 36
recruitment procedures, 186-87	representation for, 38
retention, 191-92	statement generation for, 36-37
screening, 188-89	statement structuring for, 37
Janovic M.D. 6E	usefulness, 39
Janevic, M.R., 65	utilization for, 39
Jo, B., 249 Johnson, C.A., 198	Material resources, as intervention feasibility indicator, 182-83
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	1503DHILY HIUICALUI, 104-03

Matrix generation, in intervention fidelity, 130	interventions <i>vs.</i> conceptual factors in, 198-200, 201,
Matrix linking determinants, 51t	202t, 218t
McCloskey, J.C., 17 McCommon, A., 250	methodological factors in, 200-201, 202t-218t
McEwen, A., 198	in intervention theory, 68-71
McGilton, K., 199, 200	Oxman, A.D., 128t, 135, 137
McGrew, J.H., 132	Oxiliali, A.D., 1201, 133, 131
Measurement, in intervention evaluation,	Paradigm shift, 4-11
157	client-centered care and, 7-8
Mediated relationship, between	evidence-based practice and, 5-7
phenomena, 28	high-quality care redefined and, 9-11
Messe, L.A., 88	Partial randomized controlled/clinical
Meta-analysis, for problem	trial (PRCT), 259-60, 259f
understanding, 31-32	two-stage, 260
Meta-synthesis, for problem	Participant allocation to groups, in
understanding, 31-32	efficacy studies, 228-30
Methodological data extracted, for	Participant selection, in efficacy studies,
literature review, 33, 34t	219-22
Michie, S., 49	Patient-centered evidence-based
Middle range theory, 43-49	approach. See Client-centered
Mintz, J., 67, 115, 116	evidence-based approach
Moderate relationship, between	Pearson, M.L., 126, 128t
phenomena, 28	Personal characteristics, of treatment
Monitoring methods, for fidelity	preferences, 252
intervention, 129-30	Person-centered care, 4
client, 139	Pharmacological interventions,
context validation, 132-33	questionnaires on, 176
implementation, 143-45	Physical interventions, 19
interventionist, 133-39	Pilot studies
matrix generation, 130	design, 193-96
operational fidelity, 133	in intervention acceptability, 178
Morin, C.M., 264	Pilot test, in intervention evaluation, 12
Mowbray, C.T., 127t	Pincus, T., 242
Multigroup analysis, 247	Placebo condition, 225-27
N. 1. 11. 1. 14. 44.	Polit, D.F., 132
Najavits, L.M., 117	Potential problems, 21
National Institute of Health, 11	Practice transfer, guidelines to, in
Non-randomized controlled/clinical trials	translation of interventions,
designs, 7	268
No-treatment control condition, 224	PRCT. See Partial randomized
Nursing Intervention Classification, 19	controlled/clinical trial PRECEDE-POCEED model, 40
Nursing process, 3, 4	Preference Collaborative Review Group,
Observational design in treatment	257
Observational design, in treatment allocation, 244	Preliminary effectiveness, in intervention
Operational fidelity, in intervention	evaluation, 155-56
fidelity, 133	Problem addressing, in intervention
Operationalization	acceptability, 166
of acceptability intervention, 167-68	Problems
intervention design, 168-72	actual, 21
intervention evaluation, 172-80	characteristics of, 21-23
of intervention fidelity, 127t-128t	biophysiological, 22
Outcomes	causative factors/determinants, 22
in efficacy studies	level of severity, 22-23, 35
data analysis, 231-32	manifestations, 22
measurement, 231	physical, 22

psycho-behavioral, 22	Research methods, 185-93
social, 22	data collection, 192-93
definition of, 21	in intervention evaluation, 155-57
potential, 21	randomization, 189-91
understanding of, 27-42	recruitment procedures, 186-87
combined approach for, 40-42	retention, 191-92
concept analysis for, 31-32	screening, 188-89
concept mapping in, 35-40. See	Resnick, B., 127t
also Concept mapping	Resources, in intervention evaluation,
correlational cross-sectional studies	157
for, 31	Responding flexibly, in client-centered
descriptive cross-sectional studies	care, 8
for, 31	Responsiveness. See Responding flexibl
empirical approach for, 31-35	Retention, as research method, 191-92
experimental approach for, 35-40	Retention rate, in intervention
meta-analysis for, 31-32	acceptability, 173-74
meta-synthesis for, 31-32	Riedel-Heller, S.G., 253
theoretical approach for, 27-31	Risks, in intervention acceptability, 167
theory of, 41t, 42	Rosal, M.C., 55
Process, in intervention theory, 68	Rossi, P.H., 245
Project MATCH Research Group, 67	Rothwell, P.M., 240
Propositions, of intervention theory,	Ryan, P., 49
69-71, 70f	
Pro-Self Program, 11	Safe interventions, 10
Psycho-educational interventions, 20	Sampling pool, recruitment procedure,
Psychological characteristics, of	186
treatment preferences,	Sandelowski, M., 33
252-53	Saunders, R.P., 128t
	Schneider, S.M., 33
Quasi-experimental design, in treatment	Schutte-Rodin, S., 267
allocation, 244-45	Screening, as research method, 188-89
Questionnaires	Self-report questionnaire. See also
on behavioral interventions, 176-77	Questionnaires
on educational interventions, 176	in intervention acceptability, 175-78
on pharmacological interventions, 176	Shadish, W.R., 240, 245
	Shapiro, S.L., 63, 229
Randomization, as research method, 189-91	Sidani, S., 17, 33, 55, 150, 231, 240, 258, 264
Randomized controlled/clinical trial	Simple intervention manual, 103t
(RCT), 5-6, 258-59. See also	Sladeczek, I.E., 177
Non-randomized	Sleep education, 63
controlled/clinical trials	survey for examining acceptability of
designs	170t
partial, 259-60, 259f	Sleep hygiene, 63
two-stage, 260	Sobieraj, G., 200
RCT. See Randomized controlled/clinical	Social interventions, 19
trial	Spencer, S.J., 249
Reach, as intervention feasibility	Standardized treatment implementation
indicator, 185	in efficacy studies, 230-31
Recruitment procedures	Statistical conclusion validity, 200,
approach effectiveness, 186-87	202t-206t
recruitment time, 187	Stein, K.F., 128t, 135, 137
as research method, 186-87	Stimulus control instructions, 63
sampling pool, 186	Stimulus control therapy, matrix for
Recruitment time, 187	examining theoretical fidelity
Research evidence, as source of	of, 131t-132t
evidence, 7	Stirman, S.W., 222

Structure, in intervention theory client characteristics, 64-66 context characteristics, 68 interventionist or healthcare professional characteristics,	Thompson, D., 48 Titler, M.G., 266 Translation, of interventions, 13-14, 263-70 evidence, 265-66
66-67	guideline to practice transfer, 268
Study protocols, in intervention	guideline adaptation, 268-70
evaluation, 156-57	guideline dissemination, 270
Subgroup analyses, in contextual	guideline implementation, 270
analysis, 246	intervention implementation
Substantive data extracted, for literature review, 33, 34t	guidelines, 267t-268t systematic review of evidence, 264-65
Sullivan, C.M., 191	Treatment acceptability, survey for,
Survey	169-71, 170t
for hygiene acceptability, 170t	Treatment-as-usual condition, 227-28
for sleep education acceptability, 170t	Treatment characteristics, of treatment
for treatment acceptability, 169-71,	preferences, 253
170t	Treatment Evaluation Inventory, 177
Swift, J.K., 257	Treatment Perception Questionnaire, 177
Systematic review of evidence, in	Treatment preferences, 251
translation of interventions,	conceptualization of, 251-54
264-65	clinical characteristics, 252
Tailand interventions 72 02	consequences of, 253-54
Tailored interventions, 73-82 design of, 74-81	factors influencing, 252–53 personal characteristics, 252
algorithm development, 78-81	presenting problem beliefs, 253
client characteristic assessment, 76	psychological characteristics,
client characteristic identification,	252-53
75-76	treatment characteristics, 253
intervention strategy list, 76-78	intervention research influences,
intervention theory, 74-75	254-60
effectiveness of, 81	on external validity, 254-56
mechanisms underlying effects of, 82	on internal validity, 256-58
TASK. See Telephone Assessment and	research designs accounting for,
Skill-Building Kit	258-60
Telephone Assessment and Skill-Building Kit (TASK), 169-70	Two-stage partial randomized controlled/clinical trial, 260
Temporal order, 150, 152	controlled/cliffical trial, 200
Theoretical approach, for problem	Ultimate outcomes, delineation of, 61-62
understanding, 27-31	Uptake, in intervention acceptability,
Theory, intervention, 64-71	174-75
in designing interventions, 11	Usual care condition, 227-28
outcome, 68-71	
process, 68	Vallance, J.K., 29, 44
propositions of, 69-71, 70f	Van Die, M.D., 227
structure, 64-68	Villarruel, A.M., 54
utility of, 71-72	Visovsky, C., 33
Theory-based approach, for designing	Mattia a list sentral sendition 224.25
interventions, 43-49, 44f	Waiting-list control condition, 224-25,
advantages of, 48 limitations of, 49	225f Waltz, J., 135
steps in, 44-48	Weiss, R.D., 117
Theory role, in intervention evaluation,	West, R., 198
161-62	Whittemore, R., 11